

Guideline for Reviewer to Review Scientific Articles

Title of the paper:

.....
.....
.....

1. Is the rationale of the works sufficiently sound?
2. Has the work designed and carried out scientifically?
3. Have/has the author(s) given sufficient data and have the data been subjected to proper statistical analysis to justify the conclusion?
4. If the authors have/has included the tables and figures to present the same sets of data, would you recommend inclusion of both; if not, which according to your opinion, should be omitted?
5. To what number would you suggest the authors should reduced his /their tables (applicable only where the article contains more than one table).
6. Please, comment on the quality of graphs/drawings/photographs and labeling.
7. Additional remarks, if any.
8. Please, clearly indicate whether the paper is suitable for publication in medical journal as a full paper.
9. Does it need improvement/modification before publication, if so, in what way.

Please, send the paper back within fifteen days from the date of receiving the paper.

Extra sheet may be used for further comments on the paper.

Conclusion (Please tick):

1. The paper is acceptable
2. The paper is acceptable after proposed modification.
3. The paper is not acceptable.

Signature of the reviewer.....

Name.....

Date.....

Seal.....